UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,996	05/10/2005	John Edwards	21.1059	8796
23718 759 SCHLUMBERGI	90 04/12/2007 ER OILFIELD SERVI	EXAMINER		
200 GILLINGHA		COY, NICOLE A		
MD 200-9 SUGAR LAND, TX 77478			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/511,996	EDWARDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nicole Coy	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,996

Art Unit: 3672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 6-16 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim shall not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-16 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dietle et al. (USP 5,765,637).

With respect to claim 1, Dietle et al. discloses a method of installing a sensor located in a carrier on the outside of a casing, comprising the steps of positioning the casing (6) in a well, cementing (52) the casing in position, positioning a drilling tool (14) inside the casing level with the carrier (see figure 4 and column 5 lines 40-52), drilling through the casing, carrier and cement into the formation surrounding the well so as to create a fluid communication path (see figure 3 and 4), and sealing the hole drilled in the casing (see figure 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,996

Art Unit: 3672

been used).

With respect to claim 2, Dietle et al. discloses that the drilling and sealing operations are preferably performed using a tool that is run into the well so as to be positioned adjacent the carrier, the tool being removed from the well after the drilling and sealing operations are completed (wherein the projectile is removed once it has

With respect to claim 3, Dietle et al. discloses that the tool is used to create a drawdown across the drilled hole to produce reservoir fluid through the hole and clean it of debris and skin damage (wherein the tool is cleaned as samples are being taken).

With respect to claim 4, Dietle et al. discloses making a direct measurement of formation pressure prior to sealing the hole (see column 5 lines 50-52).

With respect to claim 5, Dietle et al. discloses that the drilling and sealing operations are repeated at intervals throughout the life of the well (see abstract).

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicole Coy whose telephone number is 571-272-5405. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, 1st F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/511,996

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

nac

William Nauder Primary Examiner